

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/663,827	RAUCHSCHWALBE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fiona T. Powers	1626	

All Participants:

 (1) Fiona T. Powers.

 (2) Godfried Akorli.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 March 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

6

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Akorli to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if claim 6 were cancelled. Mr. Akorli gave permission to cancel claim 6 by examiner's amendment. The amendment is necessary to avoid a rejection of the claim under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph. .